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National Grid’s job is to connect people to the energy they use to warm and light their homes, the power which keeps our factories and offices going, and the infrastructure essential to our modern lifestyle.
275kV and 400kV electricity network

- 84 major power plants connected (80GW installed capacity).
- Peak demand – 54.43GW (daily cold winter)
- 22,000 pylons
- approx 7,200 km of overhead line, approx 675 km of underground cable, and 337 substations at 244 sites.
- The largest centre of electricity demand is around London.
The Future Energy Challenge

Sustainability

15% of all energy to come from renewable sources by 2020

80% reduction in CO2 emissions by 2050

Affordability

Gas from UK sources

~25%

of total supplies by 2020

Security of supply

Power station closures

~25%

of total capacity by 2020 vs 2010 levels
What does this mean for National Grid?

North West
- Cumbria nuclear and wind c200km connection
- Western HVDC

Wales
- North Wales nuclear and wind 40km connection (2021)
- Mid Wales/ Shropshire wind 45km (2017)

South West
- Hinkley Point 57km connection (2020)

London
- North London Reinforcement Project (2017)
- London Power Tunnels

North East
- Eastern HVDC
- Norway Interconnector

Yorkshire and Humber
- CCS pipeline
- Gas transmission pipeline replacement

East Midlands
- Triton Knoll wind connection

East Anglia
- Kings Lynn CCGT connection 2km (2016)
- Bramford to Twinstead tee 27km (2018)
- East Anglia offshore wind (2022)

South East
- NEMO Belgium interconnector (2018)
Planning Act 2008 - streamlining permit granting procedures?

- New consent regime for major infrastructure projects

- New duty for **stronger community engagement** – must demonstrate how consultation has influenced proposals

- ‘**Frontloading**’ – consultation at early stages of projects and important to get applications ‘right first time’

- Significant **public interest and scrutiny** of new projects

- Applies to **England only**
Our approach to design and routeing new electricity transmission lines

- An approach to routeing lines – wider than undergrounding
- A process rather than a policy
- Recognises environmental and social impacts as well as system and cost issues
- Early and meaningful engagement with stakeholders and communities to understand local considerations
- Options Appraisal methods to be applied on a case-by-case basis – no preference for overhead or underground solutions
- Greater emphasis on mitigating visual impact – recognise that not all sites that are valued or important are in designated areas
- Followed a consultation exercise on undergrounding by National Grid
- Published September 2011
Project delivery: Routeing and siting process

**PLANNING ACT 2008**

**NATIONAL POLICY STATEMENTS**

**ELECTRICITY ACT obligations**

**TRANSMISSION LICENCE obligations**

**SECURITY AND QUALITY OF SUPPLY STANDARDS**

**NEW ROUTEING APPROACH**

**NEED CASE** for new infrastructure established

**Stage 01: STRATEGIC OPTIONS** identified / broad environmental appraisal

**Stage 02: OUTLINE ROUTEING AND SITING** studies / desktop environmental surveys & site visits

**Stage 03: DETAILED ROUTEING AND SITING** developed / full EIA and surveys

**Stages 04 and 05: APPLICATION FOR DEVELOPMENT CONSENT** prepared and submitted

**CONTINUING CONSULTATION and ENGAGEMENT** (stakeholders and communities)

**Stage 06: 12-18 MONTH EXAMINATION / DECISION PERIOD** by Government advised by the Planning Inspectorate
Project delivery: EIA

Environmental Impact Assessment during Stage 03

- Full EIA on preferred alignment
- Consult key stakeholders on scope and results of study
- May use options appraisal to compare environmental and socio-economic performance of alternative alignments
- Results of appraisal published in Environmental Statement
Proposal to amend EIA Directive 2011/92/EU

- Comprehensive overhaul of EIA legislation at European level
- Potential shortcomings identified due to evolving policy, legal and technical context
- Proposal aims to correct shortcomings and enhance EU policy coherence
- Implications?
### Implications of proposal to amend Directive 2011/92/EU on EIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed change</th>
<th>Discussion points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Screening</strong></td>
<td>Requirement for specific environmental information. May bring smaller projects into EIA regime, even if they have expedited local consents. May introduce burdens for major projects which are clearly EIA developments. Potential mitigation measures at this stage?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ex-post monitoring</strong></td>
<td>Unrealistic requirements could be imposed. However already carried out as best practice in some cases. Agree that more could be done. Who would monitor and enforce?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Implications of proposal to amend Directive 2011/92/EU on EIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed change</th>
<th>Discussion points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timescales</strong></td>
<td>Decision-making deadlines welcome, but if one size fits all’ than may disadvantage smaller projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>‘One stop shop’ for European Requirements</strong> – integrating assessments from other EU Directives</td>
<td>Different ‘bars’ for different directive – how to align? e.g. Birds Directive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Information requirements</strong> – content of Environmental Statements ‘streamlined’ to lighten administrative burden</td>
<td>Longer and more technocratic submissions? Would support more proportionate approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Generic EIA issues – can these be addressed in the proposals?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Discussion points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alternatives</strong></td>
<td>How to assess, and to what level of detail?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>When is a project a project?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative effects</strong> – difficult to deal with in practice</td>
<td>What is a project? When does one project end and another begin?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipatory developments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EIA v public engagement</strong></td>
<td>Communities and individuals may say ‘Why is the environment more important than me and my house?’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SEA</strong></td>
<td>Applicability in unplanned / market driven environments?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issue of more information requirements</strong> – increases complexity and accessibility issues</td>
<td>Leads to huge documentation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does anyone read more than 1 or 2 sections?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UK Planning Inspectorate now asking for more concise environmental statements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always easier for permitting authority to ask for further information than to reach a view.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary

The energy landscape is changing

Challenge is to ensure security of supply sustainably, safeguard the environment, whilst delivering value for money

Changes to National Grid processes driven by stakeholder engagement

Ongoing discussion over EIA Directive

Finding the best solution for communities and the environment – and for project delivery – is challenging!
Project delivery: Thresholds for EIA

Annex I projects: must be subject to EIA

(2) Thermal power stations with a heat output of $\geq 300$ MW, and nuclear power stations (including the dismantling or decommissioning)

(16) Gas pipelines with a diameter of $>800$ mm and a length of $>40$ km.

(20) Construction of overhead electrical power lines with a voltage of $\geq 220$ kV and a length of $>15$ km.
Project delivery: Thresholds for EIA

Annex II projects: subject to EIA if likely to have significant effect on the environment

(3) Energy industry:

(a) Industrial installations for the production of electricity, steam and hot water (projects not included in Annex I);

(b) Industrial installations for carrying gas, steam and hot water; transmission of electrical energy by overhead cables (projects not included in Annex I);

(c) Surface storage of natural gas;

(d) Underground storage of combustible gases;

(e) Industrial briquetting of coal and lignite;

(g) Installations for the processing and storage of radioactive waste (unless included in Annex I);

(h) Installations for hydroelectric energy production;

(i) Installations for the harnessing of wind power for energy production (wind farms).
Project delivery: Thresholds for EIA

Annex II projects: subject to EIA if likely to have significant effect on the environment

- Thermal power stations with a heat output of <300 MW
- Extensions to power stations

- Construction of overhead electrical power lines in sensitive areas (projects not included in Annex I)
- Construction of overhead electrical power lines with voltage of ≥132 kV